

CARELLA, BYRNE, CECCHI, OLSTEIN,  
BRODY & AGNELLO, P.C.

JAMES E. CECCHI  
LINDSEY H. TAYLOR  
5 Becker Farm Road  
Roseland, NJ 07068  
Telephone: 973/994-1700  
973/994-1744 (fax)  
jcecchi@carellabyrne.com  
ltaylor@carellabyrne.com

Co-Liaison Counsel

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

AHMAD ODEH, Individually and on	)	No. 2:18-cv-17645-ESK
Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	)	<b>(Consolidated)</b>
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	<u>CLASS ACTION</u>
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	
IMMUNOMEDICS, INC., et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

ORDER APPROVING PLAN OF ALLOCATION

This matter having come before the Court on June 15, 2023, on Lead Plaintiffs' motion for approval of the proposed plan of allocation of the Net Settlement Fund ("Plan of Allocation") in the above-captioned action (the "Action"), and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein, having found the Settlement of this Action to be fair, reasonable and adequate, and otherwise being fully informed in the premises and good cause appearing therefore;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation of Settlement dated January 20, 2023 (the "Stipulation") (ECF 269-3), and all capitalized terms used in this Order, but not defined herein, shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.

2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Order, Lead Plaintiffs' motion for approval of the Plan of Allocation, and all matters relating thereto, including all Class Members.

3. Notice of Lead Plaintiffs' motion for approval of the Plan of Allocation was given to all Class Members who could be identified with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Class of the motion for approval of the Plan of Allocation met the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(7), the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, the United States Constitution

(including the Due Process clause), and all other applicable law and rules, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.

4. Over 43,200 copies of the Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Class Action (the “Notice”), which included the Plan of Allocation, were mailed to potential Class Members and nominees, and there were no objections to the Plan of Allocation.

5. The Court finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of the claims of Authorized Claimants under the Plan of Allocation, which is set forth in the Notice sent to Class Members, provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund established by the Stipulation among the Class Members, with due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and necessity.

6. This Court finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation, as set forth in the Notice, is, in all respects, fair and reasonable, and the Court approves the Plan of Allocation.

7. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order, and immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 15, 2023

/s/ Edward S. Kiel  
THE HONORABLE EDWARD S. KIEL  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE